

Appl. No. 10/071,938
Interview Summary Record dated Nov. 9, 2006
Resp. to Tel. Interview of Oct. 27, 2006


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Summary of Telephonic Interview conducted October 27, 2006

On October 27, 2006, Applicants' undersigned representative contacted the Examiner by telephone to discuss the content of the Final Office Action dated September 13, 2006. In particular, Applicants' representative informed the Examiner that the body of the Final Office Action was an identical replica of the body of the previous Office Action dated April 19, 2006, even to the point of noting that the Examiner was responding to Applicants' arguments filed January 26, 2006 instead of Applicants' arguments filed July 7, 2006 (*see* p. 6 of the Final Office Action). Responsive to being informed of the condition of the Final Office Action, the Examiner stated that the wrong set of text had been inadvertently included in the body of the Final Office Action. The Examiner indicated that a new Final Office Action would be issued with the correct text in the body of the Action.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone, facsimile or email if the Examiner believes that the above summary is inaccurate for any reason or that such a communication would advance the prosecution of the instant application.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Daniel C. Crilly
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No.: 38,417
BRINKLEY, MORGAN, SOLOMON,
TATUM, STANLEY, LUNNY
& CROSBY LLP
200 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1900
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301
Phone: (954) 522-2200/Fax: (954) 522-9123
Email: daniel.crilly@brinkleymorgan.com

G:\WPFILES\clients\Motorola\PROSECUTION\CM01562U05956-PAT USA-CM01562L\CM01562L_USA_2006-11-09_INT_SUMMARY_DCC.doc